UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/767,479 | 01/28/2004 | Mikko K. Makela | 037145-1101 | 5071 |
| 30542 7590 06/04/2008 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP P.O. BOX 80278 | Đ | EXAMINER | | |
| | | TERMANINI, SAMIR | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2178 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent und . Reexamination | MAKELA, MIKKO K. | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| | 10/767,479 | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | |
| · . | Samir Termanini | 2178 | .=: | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE | .DEC | | | |

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

est for Review file

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 3/18/2008.

| | Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s): | d a conference will not be held for the following |
|-----|---|---|
| | ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pro☐ Other: | view is appropriate. |
| | The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No | |
| • | 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfed. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based u of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the |
| | ☐ The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | aim(s) is as follows: |
| | 3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits repapplicant at this time. | |
| | 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been had action will be mailed. No further action is required by a | |
| ΑI | l participants: | Aug 1 |
| (1) | KENNY S. LIN. | (3) Stephen Hon SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER |
| (2) | Samir Termanini | (4) |